INTRODUCTION

It is both too soon and too late to write a political biography of General Ne Win. Too soon for several reasons: one is that his name still stirs political passions, though not as strongly as two or three decades ago. Another reason is that a number of diplomatic records necessary for a full account are not yet available. Under the thirty-year rule that applies in many archives, most of Ne Win's final decade in power is still under a blanket and this book is weaker for that. Moreover, the Myanmar archives and the archives of other countries crucial for a complete account are partially or totally unavailable. If I had more time, more money, and an even more tolerant publisher, the book would be substantially longer than it is now.

A political biography of General Ne Win is also too late in that many people who might have been interviewed and provided first-hand accounts of events and circumstances are no longer here. The Grim Reaper reached them before I did. Especially, the subject of the volume, General Ne Win, whom I never met, has been gone for more than a decade as I write. He does not have the chance to rebut and refute what I have written about him. However, given his lack of interest in what others said about him and his unwillingness to encourage a cult of personality around himself, other than in the reflected glory of General Aung San, it is unlikely that he would do so even if he were alive.

A question that readers might ask is why have I bothered to write this book? I do not need to do so as I am under no obligation to university to drive it up the league tables or a funding council to demonstra that I deserve my noodles and potatoes. There are, however, reason which grew out of my academic career. I lived in Myanmar in 1978 General Ne Win: A Political Biography

and in 1982 for periods of six months each, and visited the country almost every year between 1975 and 1987, the year before Ne Win stepped down from formal office. Though I was unable to travel widely before 1989, and never reached the furthest regions of the country until this century. I did experience a little of what life in socialist Myanmar was like. In many ways, despite the lack of many of the creature comforts which I took for granted in my cosseted American/Australian/British existence when not in Myanmar, I found my life there constantly rewarding and interesting. The pace was slower, the people more friendly, the shortage of goods usually made up for by the black market or local substitutes, and generally I got my research done despite the claims that Myanmar was closed to the world. True, Military Intelligence followed me initially and later set silly traps to expose me as a combined CIA/ASIS/MI5 agent, but it was not hard to have a laugh at what was attempted.

Living in Ne Win's socialist Burma in the second decade of his rule provided me with experiences which I would not otherwise have had. This got me interested in the history of Myanmar nationalism and socialism as revealed in many memoirs and political texts published in the 1930s through the 1970s. It is no wonder that students in Yangon and elsewhere believed in their right and duty to rebel against the government in 1988. They had been provided with a steady diet of revolutionary nationalist literature readily available at any bookshop or private lending library, of which there were many in those days. Whereas today students seem merely to read "howto-get-rich" manuals, then they and I were studying the efforts of student nationalists to oust the British, rid the country of foreign influence, and

A memorable experience concerning Ne Win occurred in 1977, on my first trip to Mandalay. In those more relaxed days, before mass tourism and heightened fears about security, one could wander almost anywhere in and around the city unhampered. One day I was strolling in the grounds of the old royal palace, not yet then rebuilt of cold cement rather than the timber which would have provided its warmth. Within the walls there was a small army base, within which one could equally stroll. As I did so, walking towards a dusty car shed containing three or four ancient Mercedes-Benz automobiles covered in bird droppings and dirt, I struck up a conversation with a middle-aged sergeant. I asked him whether General Ne Win ever used those cars. Indicating that he did. I asked whether he had ever met the General. He had and quickly explained that he would do anything for him to the point of death. He told me of the impact of Ne Win on him

establish a socialist paradise.

personally. Once, in the 1950s, as a young private, he had met Ne Win, who asked him about his domestic circumstances, including his marital status and about his children. Twenty years later, the sergeant had had the task chuckle, he explained how he admired any man who could, for twenty-six of opening a car door for Ne Win on a visit to Mandalay. As he emerged years, alone and unaided, walk backward and never stumble. Whether Ne from the backseat. Ne Win, addressing the sergeant by name, referring to Win ever saw Clarke Gable, in the film Honky Tonk, remark as he backed him as vehaw (comrade), asked about his wife and children by name. He was flabbergasted at his feat of memory. Such a man had a hold over his of the days when we used to do all our walking backwards". remains army which deserves to be understood in terms other than the theories of among the many questions I cannot answer about Ne Win and his times. espotism that I ad been taught in school. I subsequently heard others speak not only of Ne Win's prodigiou economically, and militarily, was intimately linked with the British Empire. memory, but also of his personal touch in human relations. Deferentia

especially British India. He spent his entire political life attempting to undo and polite to the educated, he could be cruel and demanding towards the consequences of binding and bending Myanmar to outside interests. those close to him, particularly in the army. As told later in this book, His purpose was to restore Myanmar to self-mastery, only to realize that he physically beat his own men out of rage at their alleged ignorance or this was an impossible dream in modern conditions. More immediately slavishness. His personal cook and valet for many years, Raju, told the story he had replaced a multiparty political system and a mixed economy with a about how, when Ne Win got angry with him, he would strike him. Raju single-party political system and a state-run economy, though with a large said he did not mind because after Ne Win's mood had been restored to private sector, only to later admit that to go back after twenty-six years to equanimity, he often felt sorry for what he had done. Raju would then what he had abolished, was the way forward. receive an increase in salary. For every account of Ne Win's brutality, there This brings me to another reason for writing this book, ludged ever s a story about his devotion to duty and kindness towards others, often on his own terms. Ne Win would have had to admit his revolution was going out of his way to pay respects to his opponents in death or adversity, failure. Even so, it would be a mistake to argue from that perspective. To and revealing a humanity often denied by his critics. His emotions were quote George MacDonald Fraser, who composed his memoirs, "to illustrate

.. the difference between 'then' and 'now', and to assure a later generation The persons with whom I talked most frequently about Ne Win in that much modern wisdom, applied in retrospect ... is not to be trusted". the 1970s and 1980s were his allies and confidents in the 1940s, 1950s He was writing about the Second World War as a man who had fought and 1960s, but then his opponents and political victims in the 1960s and in it. If we look at the past through a lens distorted by "myth, revisionist 1970s. I expected these men and women to be angry at him and condemn history, fashionable ideas and reactions, social change, and the cinema and him in no uncertain terms. A few did, particularly the wives of men whom television", then much of the world Ne Win lived in and through seems Ne Win had imprisoned. However, many still retained a degree of respect apparent madness. Our ideas of race, nation, economic justice, and political for his integrity and patriotism, admitting that the economic policies over necessity are not those of our parents and grandparents, nor are they the which he presided were a failure, as he did in the end, but he nonetheless same as Ne Win's and those with whom he lived and worked. Of course, had good intentions for the country. Of course, after 1988, when the antibasic beliefs in human dignity and the sanctity of life do not change, and military exile community was in full voice, such views were drowned out Ne Win and his generation share these values with us, though they we v louder and more strident, younger voices. displayed in different languages, often which the current generation would

Burmese voices of my age, living throughout Ne Win's socialist revolution, find politically incorrect took a broader view of the man and his times, and were found to condemr Ne Win's life was lived as European imperialism was dving and new less that those who fled abroad. One now-retired captain of a boat on th or restored states were emerging in the name of nationalism. War and veyawady River told me in the strongest terms how much he admired conflict dominated the twentieth century in Europe and Asia. From the Win. As this was more than a decade after his fall from power, when time Ne Win entered politics until he stepped down from formal office and

General Ne Win: A Political Biography

the hands of other opponents

even the then military regime had nothing good to say about the socialist beyond, he never knew peace. During the Cold War, which dominates th past. I pressed him to explain the grounds for his admiration. With a book, he attempted to keep Myanmar, despite its neighbours' machination on various sides of the Cold War divide, out of their armed conflic Regrettable as the deaths of those who died in Myanmar's civil war over vears, perhaps as many as several thousand in the worst years, they out of a saloon covering his opponents with his pistol. "This reminds me were, in comparison to the many millions killed in Vietnam, Cambodia and Laos between 1960 and 1980, relatively few. Myanmar, despite its avowal of revolutionary socialism, also avoided the excesses of the Maoist Ne Win was born into a Burma which was a colony that, politically, revolution in China or the Stalinist era of the Soviet Union or the bloodbath in Indonesia of 1965. His revolution, in terms of its human toll, was not a bloody revolution. His political opponents died in their own beds or at

> That is not to say that the Ne Win era was cost free. Many lives were not only lost but stunted and opportunities for development and enrichment were destroyed. The cosmopolitan life of the privileged few that colonialism had created, and Ne Win had enjoyed himself, and continued to enjoy when abroad, was obliterated. Myanmar "fell behind" in the development race which has come to define political success in the late twentieth and early twenty-first centuries because of his single-minded pursuit of the Burmese Way to Socialism. However, seen from other perspectives, not shared by his most severe critics, he created a nation which had the resilience from its own resources to withstand more than twenty years of post-Cold War economic sanctions applied by his sometime collaborators at the height of the Cold War, I shall revert to this subject in the Epilogue, For now, to

You cannot, you must not, judge the past by the present; you must try to see it in its own terms and values, if you are to have some inkline of it. You may not like what you see, but do not on that account fall into the error to trying to adjust it to suit your own vision of what it ought to have been. Finally, this is a very long book and it raises many questions and issues

in the complex politics of twentieth-century Myanmar. The length and detail is justified by the need to detail for the record not only Ne Win's political thought as expressed in his speeches, but also his longstanding and close elations with many other leaders during the Cold War. For the uninitiated, this complexity may seem bewildering. For reference to the background and details to various sections, readers may wish to consult one or more of the standard histories of modern Myanmar, Unfortunately, there are few

General Ne Win: A Political Biography

such available. I immodestly suggest, if they wish, they might consult th relevant sections of the author's State in Myanmar (2009). Throughout the book, I have used both the traditional transliteration of Burmese words and names as commonly found in books published prior to 1989, and th Myanmar Language Commission system published in 1980. By now, most readers will be familiar with both systems.

As H.L. Mencken wrote, in considering the biographics of President Theodore

Nearly all our professional historians are poor men holding college posts, and they are ten times more cruelly beset by the ruling politico-plutocratic-social oligarchy than ever the Prussian professors under the Hohenzollerns. Let them diverge in the slightest from the current official doctrine, and they are turned out of their chairs with a ceremony suitable for the expulsion of a drunken valet.

"Roosevelt: An Autopsy", in Prejudices: A Selection (New York: Vintage Books. S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies

- Quoted in George MacDonald Fraser, Quartered Safe Out Here: A Recollection of the War in Burma (London: Harper Collins, 2000), p. 125.
- Ibid., p. xix.

scholars in Myanmar studies, has written an General Ne Win of post-independen Illuminating study of Ne Win, the most Myanmar was not the same as Chairman Ne enigmatic and controversial of the first Win of the BSPP. Nor was the context of those generation of post-independence Southeast Asian leaders, and how he steered a then largely unknown country, Burma (now Myanmar), through the Cold War years. This aware of such anachronistic projections book, by perhaps the only foreign political backward, made to commensurate with analyst to live in Burma under Ne Win, is a certain desired academic and political significant contribution to the historiography of Myanmar and its unnoticed role in the Cold

Associate Professor Ang Cheng Guan Head of Graduate Studies

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

interest not only to professional Myanmar watchers, who have long awaited a detailed and comprehensive study of this important historical figure, but to anyone who wants to

History of South East Asia School of Oriental and African Studies (London)

Adjunct Associate Professor Griffith Asia Institute

being felt today."

learn more about this troubled Southeast

Asian country, where Ne Win's legacy is still

"Robert Taylor, one of the most prominent "The Colonel Ne Win of World War II and days similar to the context by which he is normally judged today. The present work (and Taylor's scholarship in general) is acutely consequences. Taylor examines Ne Win's life and career in the context of when it occurred. This book returns Ne Win to the period to

Michael Aung-Thwin

Professor of South East Asian History University of Hawaii

which he belonged."

"This book fills a major gap in the literature on "It is difficult to imagine that this study of Ne Myanmar by providing the first scholarly Win, the dominant figure in the politics o account of the life of General Ne Win, its Burma through most of the second half of the enigmatic ruler for over 25 years. It will be of twentieth century, will ever be surpassed Immensely detailed, insightful, and impressively understanding, this is an outstanding work of scholarship."

Emeritus Professor of the Economic

9. Cold War General

List of Tables

Acknowledgements

- 2. The Formative Years (July 1910 to December 1941)
- 3. The BIA and the Resistance (January 1942 to August 1945)
- Showing the British Out (September 1945 to December 1947)
- Independence and Civil War (January 1948 to September 1950)
- Relaxing and Rebuilding (October 1950 to March 1958)
- Rehearsing and Reviewing (April 1958 to February 1962)
- 8. Coup d'Etat and Revolution (March 1962 to February 1964)
- (March 1964 to February 1967)

13. Failure and Farewell (March 1988 to December 2002)

Epilogue: What to Make of Ne Win?

Appendix: Radio Address by Colonel Naywin (7-5-45).

About the Author

10. Preparation for Transition

(March 1967 to February 1972)

Transition and Small Change

(March 1972 to February 1978)

12. Purifying the Sangha, Unifying the Nation, and

Maintaining Genuine Neutralin (March 1978 to February 1988)

to the People of Burma

Bibliography